EXHIBIT 2

In the Matter of:

Very Reverend Georges F. de Laire, J.C.L. vs Gary Michael Voris, et al.

> Gary Michael Voris February 08, 2023

68 Commercial Wharf • Boston, MA 02110 888.825.3376 - 617.399.0130 Global Coverage Magnals.com



Very Reverend Georges F. de Laire, J.C. 10(b)(6) Videotaped Gary Michael Voris, et al.

Gary Michael Voris February 08, 2023

talking about, that could still use some more drafting, may have been, hey, here's all of our points, Marc, this is everything we know from, like, a journalistic standpoint to blah, blah, blah, you know, you know more about the specific details of it, you know, so could you please put that together? Could you incorporate that stuff? I just don't have a clear memory. But any one of those situations is entirely plausible.

- Q. Okay. And then, ultimately, the litigation was initiated, correct?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And after the litigation was initiated, did you inform Marc Balestrieri?
- A. I -- I don't have a memory it. I -- I can't imagine I didn't, but I don't have a memory of doing so. He's come to know at some point, so I don't know when that happened, but in general, the answer is yes. When that happened -- and do I have a clear memory of when, how and all of that, I do not have a clear memory of it, other than he has come to know about it. So, somewhere along the way he was informed.

MS. ELOVECKY: Okay. I'm gonna share a document.

Very Reverend Georges F. de Laire, J.C. **LO(bs)**(6)Videotaped Gary Michael Voris, et al.

Gary Michael Voris February 08, 2023

thing I said is, what is this? Like, why is this happening now a year after the fact? And -- and I iust remember that was my recollection.

Like, why did it take a year to do this, you know, file su -- I mean, I'm not suggesting it's out of the statute of limitations or anything, I'm just saying it seems -- just struck me as peculiar that a year after something, all of a sudden, and now it takes on some sort of new legal life.

But the -- I don't remember at that point whether I said -- you know, I'm sure there was some discussion, how to respond to this, what do we do, you know, it would not have been at all outside the bounds of Christine drawing up some sort of response to it as a draft response and then sending that to Marc.

It -- she may have just said, oh, well, here Marc, you know more about this. I don't recall that I sent it to Marc. I -- I may have, very well. I don't know, this is years ago at this point so I don't have clear memories of all this level of detail.

But it is normal here -- as a matter of fact, we just had an example for the last two weeks.

Oftentimes when we do an article, for example, if we put in an image or a picture or somebody's logo or

Very Reverend Georges F. de Laire, J.C. 120(bs)(6) Videotaped Gary Michael Voris, et al.

Gary Michael Voris February 08, 2023

something like that, there are -- I guess the Internet's version of ambulance-chasing lawyers who wait for a copyright to be violated in the publishing of a picture, and then they send you a note and say, you know, hey, you owe us \$895 or my client wants \$895, my client wants \$2,473 for that.

Christine, because of her legal background and her being a lawyer, has written up the official response to that, and so when it comes, when those things come in to whoever happens to open up whatever e-mail they come in to, because they come in to all sorts of various e-mails, we send it to Christine, Christine takes over, sends the response on, and -- and that's the matter. That may very well have been the case with this as well. I just provide that as sort of a context for, you know, Christine doing some of this stuff.

So she may have written a initial response. She may have picked up the phone and called Marc, talked about, here are some things, so that whatever he -- she told him, he may have incorporated into that response.

We may have had a response earlier than his, and what that letter is, the -- the rough draft he's